ATTACHMENT B

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

JOHN W. WHITE RICHARD W. CLARY STEPHEN L. GORDON ROBERT H. BARON DAVID MERCADO CHRISTINE A. VARNEY PETER T. BARBUR THOMAS G. RAFFERTY MICHAEL S. GOLDMAN RICHARD HALL JULIE A. NORTH ANDREW W. NEEDHAM STEPHEN L. BURNS KATHERINE B. FORREST KEITH R. HUMMEL DAVID J. KAPPOS DANIEL SLIFKIN ROBERT I. TOWNSEND, III WILLIAM J. WHELAN, III PHILIP J. BOECKMAN WILLIAM V. FOGG FAIZA J. SAEED

RICHARD J. STARK

THOMAS E. DUNN MARK I. GREENE DAVID R. MARRIOTT MICHAEL A. PASKIN ANDREW J. PITTS MICHAEL T. REYNOLDS ANTONY L. RYAN GEORGE E. ZOBITZ GEORGE A. STEPHANAKIS DARIN P. MCATEE GARY A. BORNSTEIN TIMOTHY G. CAMERON KARIN A. DEMASI DAVID S. FINKELSTEIN DAVID GREENWALD RACHEL G. SKAISTIS PAUL H. ZUMBRO ERIC W. HILFERS GEORGE F. SCHOEN ERIK R. TAVZEL CRAIG F. ARCELLA DAMIEN R. ZOUBEK LAUREN ANGELILLI TATIANA LAPUSHCHIK

Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019-7475

TELEPHONE: +1-212-474-1000 FACSIMILE: +1-212-474-3700

CITYPOINT
ONE ROPEMAKER STREET
LONDON ECZY 9HR
TELEPHONE: +44-20-7453-1000
FACSIMILE: +44-20-7860-1150

writer's direct dial number +1-212-474-1596

writer's email address korsini@cravath.com

ALYSSA K. CAPLES JENNIFER S. CONWAY MINH VAN NGO KEVIN J. ORSINI MATTHEW MORREALE JOHN D. BURETTA J. WESLEY EARNHARDT YONATAN EVEN BENJAMIN GRUENSTEIN JOSEPH D. ZAVAGLIA STEPHEN M. KESSING LAUREN A. MOSKOWITZ DAVID J. PERKINS JOHNNY G. SKUMPIJA J. LEONARD TETI, II D. SCOTT BENNETT TINGS CHEN CHRISTOPHER K. FARGO KENNETH C. HALCOM DAVID M. STUART AARON M. GRUBER O. KEITH HALLAM, III OMID H. NASAB DAMARIS HERNÁNDEZ

JONATHAN J. KATZ
MARGARET SEGALL D'AMICO
RORY A. LERARIS
KARA L. MUNGOVAN
NICHOLAS A. DORSEY
ANDREW C. ELKEN
JENNY HOCHENBERG
VANESSA A. LAVELY
G.J. LIGELIS JR.
MICHAEL E. MARIANI
LAUREN R. KENNEDY
SASHA ROSENTHAL-LARREA
ALLISON M. WEIN

SPECIAL COUNSEL SAMUEL C. BUTLER

OF COUNSEL MICHAEL L. SCHLER CHRISTOPHER J. KELLY

August 24, 2019

PG&E Chapter 11 Proceedings, No. 19-30088 N.D. Cal.

Dear Counsel,

I write in response to the TCC's August 22, 2019, letter attaching the TCC's First Set of Interrogatories to the Debtors and the TCC's Statement Regarding August 27, 2019 Status Conference on Estimation (the "August 23 Statement") that you filed with the Court yesterday.¹

First, as you well know, the parties cannot continue to delay proceeding with an estimation process. It is imperative that the parties determine the structure and schedule of that process as soon as practicable. We have set forth a detailed proposal about how we think the process should work, how long it should take, on which fires we should focus and how many witnesses might be required. To date, neither the TCC nor any other stakeholder has offered an alternative approach. Nor have you even suggested why you might believe our approach is inefficient or could be improved upon. It is easy to say this case is complicated. But that does not justify failing to take a position and engaging in a constructive dialogue to move the process forward for the benefit of all

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3687-2 Filed: 08/25/19 Entered: 08/25/19 17:42:04 Page 2

ot 16

¹ In its August 20, 2019 Order Regarding Further Hearings On Estimation And Other Case Scheduling Matters (the "Order"), the Court instructed the parties to meet and confer and undertake "a full discussion among counsel as to what discovery will be necessary, and what is the timeframe, preparatory to the scheduling of the final estimation proceedings". (Order at 3-8:10, 5:6-9.) In flagrant disregard of the Court's Order, and less than one day after sending its August 22 letter, the TCC filed its August 23 Statement without any attempt to meet and confer. We nevertheless remain willing and able to meet and confer with respect to the issues set forth in the Court's Order and the August 23 Statement at any time prior to the August 27th conference.

stakeholders. We remain available to meet and confer regarding these critical issues at your convenience.

Second, the TCC's position that it cannot engage in discussions about how to structure an estimation process until it knows more about the disputed issues is specious. These are the TCC's claims. The TCC is asserting the Debtors are liable under various state common law and statutory provisions. The claimants, including the tort lawyers who litigated these cases for years, obviously understand what the elements of those claims are. They understand what proof is required, at least in a state court trial. We have stated we will not challenge causation for the purpose of estimation with respect to any trials other than Tubbs. Everything else is disputed.

For example, the TCC is well aware of the Debtors' theory on inverse condemnation being inapplicable to investor-owned utilities. The Court has indicated that it will resolve that issue. (See Order Regarding Further Hearings on Estimation Motion and Other Case Scheduling Matters at 4:13-19.) The TCC also knows that a key issue in pursuing an inverse condemnation claim is proving that any PG&E power line that may have started a fire was designed, constructed and operated for a public—rather than private—use. See Cantu v. Pac. Gas & Elec. Co., 189 Cal. App. 3d 160 (1987). (Opp. of the Official Committee of Tort Claimants to the Debtors' Motion Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) and 502(c) For The Establishment of Wildfire Claims Estimation Procedures, App. B at 3.) This will be an issue that the parties will need to address for at least the Adobe, Cascade, Cherokee, Honey, La Porte, Lobo, Nuns, Oakmont, Partrick and Point fires.

The TCC has expressed apparent confusion with respect to the intersection between causation and liability as to negligence claims. But the Debtors' position is clear. Even if the Debtors do not contest (for purposes of estimation) that their equipment *caused* a particular wildfire, the Debtors do not concede that any negligence on their part contributed to the cause. For example, the Debtors do not contest (for purposes of estimation) that the Redwood fire ignited when excessive winds caused a large branch to break and fall into a PG&E conductor. The Debtors maintain, however, that the subject branch was healthy and well outside of the clearance zone required by state law, and that their vegetation management program adequately inspected and maintained the vegetation at issue. It will be the TCC's burden to prove otherwise.

To the extent the TCC believes that it can satisfy the wildfire claimants' burden of proof by demonstrating systemic deficiencies with the Debtors' overall maintenance programs (e.g., vegetation management), that is incorrect. The relevant inquiry for purposes of the Debtors' liability is whether any alleged deficiencies in their programs can actually be linked to a particular wildfire ignition. As the Court of Appeal concluded in the *Butte* litigation, a plaintiff must demonstrate that the Debtors' alleged negligence contributed to the wildfire. (See Pac. Gas & Elec. Co. v. Superior Court, 24 Cal. App. 5th 1150, 1167 (2018) ("Plaintiffs also submitted expert opinion evidence that the audits performed by the quality assurance department and quality control program were scientifically unreliable. . . . There was, however, no showing that PG&E's audit

methodology contributed to the Butte fire.").) The fact that a particular branch may have hit a particular line and started a particular fire does not establish negligence. Nor do generalized complaints about the Debtors' vegetation management program. Instead, the claimants will have to establish at estimation that they have a likelihood of success on a claim that alleged failures of PG&E's systems and practices contributed to the failure of a specific limb or tree, and that such failures resulted in a violation of the standard of care.

Finally, citing to California state law doctrines of *res ipsa loquitur* and negligence *per se*, you state that "Debtors' admission that their equipment caused the fires... places the burden on the Debtors to explain and prove they were not liable for the fires", (August 23 Statement at 2.) This statement mischaracterizes the Debtors' position and is wrong as a matter of law. The Debtors have *not* admitted that their equipment caused any of the wildfires at issue in this case. Rather, in an effort to facilitate the estimation process and ensure maximum efficiency, the Debtors have merely agreed not to contest causation (except with respect to the Tubbs fire) for purposes of the estimation process. As the Court has stated repeatedly and you are well aware, estimation of claims under Bankruptcy Code Section 502(c) is unique to the bankruptcy process, and the Debtors' agreement was expressly limited to that specific context and that specific purpose.

Further, even if the Debtors had conceded causation for any purposes other than Section 502(c) estimation proceedings (which they did not), it is not the case that the burden of proof would shift to the Debtors. As the Court made clear in *Howe v. Seven Forty Two Co.*, 189 Cal. App. 4th 1155, 1162-63 (2010), *res ipsa loquitur* is a rebuttable presumption that shifts the burden of *production* "when the predicate facts are established to give rise to the presumption"; it does not affect the burden of proof, which remains with the plaintiff. Likewise, in *Mata v. Pac. Gas & Elec. Co.*, the court merely states that the doctrine of negligence *per se* may apply under certain circumstances where a defendant has been shown to have violated the law. 224 Cal. App. 4th 309 (2014), *as modified on denial of reh'g* (Mar. 26, 2014). But the Debtors have not admitted to violating any law with respect to any of the relevant wildfires (even for purposes of estimation).

Third, the urgent task at hand is establishing an appropriate schedule for the estimation proceedings that the Court and all stakeholders (including the TCC) agree needs to occur to successfully resolve these Chapter 11 cases. Since, however, the TCC seems to believe that it needs to understand the Debtors' factual and legal positions prior to the commencement of discovery or setting a schedule for the estimation process, we refer you to the documents set forth in Appendix A. Appendix A includes:

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3687-2 Filed: 08/25/19 Entered: 08/25/19 17:42:04 Page 4

ot 16

² See, e.g., Hr'g Tr. Aug. 14, 2019 at 106:11-16 ("Court: But in a – in some of the large cases that you or your colleagues have been involved, there's no counterpart. 502(c) exists all by itself in the bankruptcy world, right? Mr. Pitre: There's no counterpart -- Court: Yeah.").

- Almost 300 pages of detailed factual reports about each of the 2017 and 2018 wildfires in dispute, setting forth the Debtors' position with respect to each wildfire ignition as well as a detailed timeline of all prior inspections and patrols of the particular area at issue (e.g., vegetation management inspections, overhead patrols). The Debtors also indicate for each inspection whether the subject vegetation or equipment required any maintenance (e.g., pruning vegetation or electrical equipment repair).³
- The Debtors' responses to more than 800 interrogatories (both form and special interrogatories) in the 2017 Wildfires state court coordinated proceeding. These interrogatory responses explicitly address the general facts and theories underlying the Debtors' defenses, including any witnesses or documents that Debtors may use in support of those defenses. For example, the Debtors set forth in detail the facts and documents that support their defense that "any nuisance or trespass on land were unintentional and non-negligent". (Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Two, Related to Atlas Fire No. 25 ("PG&E routinely inspects and monitors every mile of its approximate 100,000 miles of PG&E overhead electric and transmission line each year").)

We have placed all of the documents set forth on Appendix A on an FTP with the following credentials:

•	Globalscape U	JRI:	
•	Giobaiscape (JILL.	

Please do not hesitate to reach out if you have any questions.

[•] Username:

[•] Password:

³ These reports reference a significant volume of data, documents and testimony. In preparing these reports, the Debtors gathered information from at least the following sources: (1) formal third-party witness discovery in the 2017 Wildfires litigation (including, for example, third party depositions); (2) materials identified in the Debtors' files as part of their ongoing investigations or response to litigation discovery requests; and (3) information that the Debtors have obtained from discussions with their own employees.

Regards,

/s/ Kevin J. Orsini Kevin J. Orsini

Robert A. Julian, Esq.
Cecily Dumas, Esq.
Baker Hostetler
11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90025

Copy to (via email):

Matthew A. Feldman, Esq.
Benjamin P. McCallan, Esq.
Wilkie Farr & Gallagher LLP
787 Seventh Ave.
Washington, DC 20006

Andrew LeBlanc, Esq.
Samir Vora, Esq.
Milbank LLP
1850 K Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006

Stephen Karotkin, Esq.
Jessica Liou, Esq.
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
767 Fifth Ave.
New York, NY 10153

Paul J. Pascuzzi, Esq.
Felderstein, Fitzgerald, Willoughby & Pascuzzi LLP
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250
Sacramento, CA 95819

Michael A. Kelly, Esq.
Walkup, Melodia, Kelly & Schoenberger
650 California Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108

Frank Pitre, Esq.
Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010

Steven M. Campora, Esq.
Dreyer Babich Buccola Wood Campora, LLP
20 Bicentennial Cir.
Sacramento, CA 95826

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3687-2 Filed: 08/25/19 Entered: 08/25/19 17:42:04 Page 7

of 16

Appendix A

I. Fact Summaries & Supplemental Fact Summaries

Fire	Docket #	Date	Description
	956-2	12/31/2018	Glossary of Terms in Fact Summaries
	956-3	12/31/2018	Guide to Reviewing Fact Summaries
37	956-53	12/31/2018	37 Incident Description & Factual Summary
Adobo	956-12	12/31/2018	Adobe Incident Description & Factual Summary
Adobe	956-31	12/31/2018	Supplement to Adobe Incident Description & Factual Summary
	956-13	12/31/2018	Atlas Incident Description & Factual Summary
Atlas	956-32	12/31/2018	Supplement to Atlas Incident Description & Factual Summary
Blue	956-33	12/31/2018	Blue Incident Description & Factual Summary
Camp	956-1	12/31/2018	Camp Incident Description & Factual Summary
Casada	956-14	12/31/2018	Cascade Incident Description & Factual Summary
Cascade	956-34	12/31/2018	Supplement to Cascade Incident Description & Factual Summary
	956-15	12/31/2018	Cherokee Incident Description & Factual Summary
Cherokee	956-35	12/31/2018	Supplement to Cherokee Incident Description & Factual Summary
п	956-16	12/31/2018	Honey Location Fact Report
Honey	956-36	12/31/2018	Supplement to Honey Location Fact Report
	956-17	12/31/2018	LaPorte Incident Description & Factual Summary
LaPorte	956-37	12/31/2018	Supplement to LaPorte Incident Description & Factual Summary

Fire	Docket #	Date	Description
	956-18	12/31/2018	Lobo Incident Description & Factual Summary
Lobo	956-38	12/31/2018	Supplement to Lobo Incident Description & Factual Summary
Management	956-19	12/31/2018	Maacama Incident Description & Factual Summary
Maacama	956-39	12/31/2018	Supplement to Maacama Incident Description & Factual Summary
McCourtney	956-20	12/31/2018	Orion Way & McCourtney Road Incident Description & Factual Summary
WicCourtney	956-40	12/31/2018	Supplement to McCourtney Incident Description & Factual Summary
	956-21	12/31/2018	Norrbom Incident Description & Factual Summary
Norrbom	956-41	12/31/2018	Supplement to Norrbom Incident Description & Factual Summary
N	956-22	12/31/2018	Nuns Incident Description & Factual Summary
Nuns	956-42	12/31/2018	Supplement to Nuns Incident Description & Factual Summary
	956-23	12/31/2018	Oakmont Incident Description & Factual Summary
Oakmont	956-43	12/31/2018	Supplement to Oakmont Incident Description & Factual Summary
B (1)	956-24	12/31/2018	Partrick Incident Description & Factual Summary
Partrick	956-44	12/31/2018	Supplement to Partrick Incident Description & Factual Summary
D. L.	956-25	12/31/2018	Pocket Incident Description & Factual Summary
Pocket	956-45	12/31/2018	Supplement to Pocket Incident Description & Factual Summary
n	956-26	12/31/2018	Point Incident Description & Factual Summary
Point	956-46	12/31/2018	Supplement to Point Incident Description & Factual Summary
Dotton	956-27	12/31/2018	Potter Valley Busch Rd. Incident Description & Factual Summary
Potter	956-47	12/31/2018	Supplement to Potter Valley Busch Road Incident Description & Factual Summary

Fire	Docket #	Date	Description
Pressley	956-48	12/31/2018	Pressley Incident Description & Factual Summary
Redwood	956-28	12/31/2018	Redwood Location Fact Report
Redwood	956-49	12/31/2018	Supplement to Redwood Location Fact Report
Sullivan	956-50	12/31/2018	Sullivan Incident Description & Factual Summary
6.1.1	956-29	12/31/2018	Sulphur Incident Description & Factual Summary
Sulphur	956-51	12/31/2018	Supplement to Sulphur Incident Description & Factual Summary
Tubba	956-30	12/31/2018	Tubbs Incident Description & Factual Summary
Tubbs	956-52	12/31/2018	Supplement to Tubbs Incident Description & Factual Summary

II. Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories

PG&E's Responses and Objections to Form Interrogatories

Set	Date	Description
1	4/16/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set One
1	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Amended Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set One
1	9/4/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses to Individual Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Related to Sulphur Fire
3	4/23/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Objections to All Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Three, Form Interrogatory Numbers 14.1, 15.1 and 16.1
3	6/20/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Three, Form Interrogatory Numbers 1.1, 4.1, 4.2, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3, 12.4, 12.5, 12.6, 12.7 and 14.2

Set	Date	Description
3	7/6/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Supplemental Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Three, Form Interrogatory Numbers 4.1, 12.2
3	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Three, Form Interrogatory Numbers 14.1, 15.1 and 16.1
3	7/20/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Amended Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Three, Form Interrogatory Numbers 12.2, 12.3, 12.4, 12.5, 12.6 and 12.7
3	9/19/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Amended and Supplemental Response to All Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatory No. 4.1
6	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Form Interrogatories, Set Six, Related to Lobo Fire
7	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Form Interrogatories, Set Seven, Related to McCourtney Fire
8	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Form Interrogatories, Set Eight, Related to Redwood Fire

PG&E's Responses and Objections to Form Interrogatories 17.1

Set	Date	Description
2	4/16/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Two, Related to Sullivan Fire
2	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Amended Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Two, Related to Sullivan
4	9/12/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Four, Related to Sullivan Fire
5	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Five, Related to Sullivan Fire
5	1/28/2019	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Amended Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Form Interrogatories, Set Five, Related to Sullivan Fire

PG&E's Responses and Objections to Special Interrogatories

Set	Date	Description
1	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set One, Related to Tubbs Fire
2	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Two, Related to Atlas Fire
3	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Three, Related to Cascade Fire
4	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Four, Related to LaPorte Fire
5	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Five, Related to Cherokee Fire
6	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Six, Related to Honey Fire
7	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Seven, Related to Lobo Fire
8	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Eight, Related to Maacama Fire
9	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Nine, Related to McCourtney Fire
10	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Ten, Related to Nuns Fire
11	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Eleven, Related to Pocket Fire
12	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twelve, Related to Point Fire
13	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Thirteen, Related to Redwood Valley Complex Fire

Set	Date	Description
14	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Fourteen, Related to Sulphur Fire
15	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Fifteen, Related to Sullivan Fire
16	7/13/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Sixteen, Related to 37 Fire
17	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Seventeen, Related to Tubbs Fire
18	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Eighteen, Related to Atlas Fire
19	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Nineteen, Related to Cascade Fire
20	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty, Related to La Porte Fire
21	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-One, Related to Cherokee Fire
22	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-Two, Related to Lobo Fire
23	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-Three, Related to McCourtney Fire
24	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-Four, Related to Nuns Fire
25	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-Five, Related to Pocket Fire
26	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-Six, Related to Point Fire
27	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-Seven, Related to Sulphur Fire

Set	Date	Description
28	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-Eight, Related to Potter Valley Fire
29	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Twenty-Nine, Related to Adobe Fire
30	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Thirty, Related to Oakmont Fire
31	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Thirty-One, Related to Partrick Fire
32	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses an Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Thirty-Two, Related to Norrbom Fire
33	8/1/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Thirty-Three, Related to All Fires
34	8/10/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set 34, Related to the Tubbs Fire
35	9/12/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set 35, Related to the Partrick Fire
36	9/12/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set 36, Related to the Sullivan Fire
36	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Amended Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set 36, Related to the Sullivan Fire
37	10/23/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special interrogatories, Set 37
38	10/23/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Thirty-Eight, Related to Sulphur Fire
39	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to Subrogation Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories, Set 39, Related to the Sullivan Fire
39	1/28/2019	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Amended Responses and Objections to Subrogation Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories, Set 39, Related to the Sullivan Fire

Set	Date	Description
40	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Forty, Related to the Lobo Fire
41	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to all Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Forty-One, Related to McCourtney Fire
42	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Responses and Objections to all Plaintiffs' Joint Special Interrogatories, Set Forty-Two, Related to Redwood Fire
43	12/14/2018	Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation Responses and Objections to All Plaintiff's Joint Special Interrogatories, Set 43, Related to Tubbs Fire